

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/715,197 | 11/17/2003 | Daniel L. Gysling | CC-0679 | 3359 |
| 75 | 90 03/30/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| Robert D. Crawford | | | MILLER, TAKISHA S | |
| CiDRA Corpora 50 Barnes Park | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| Wallingford, CT 06492 | | | 2855 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/30/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | _ \ / |
|--|---|---|--------------------------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Notice of About a mount | 10/715,197 | GYSLING ET AL. | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Takisha Miller | 2855 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | · | · | SS |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | • | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note; period for reply (including a total extension of time of | Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on _ |), which is after the expi | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does | not constitute a proper reply under 3 | 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the f | inal rejection. |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 | d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | | |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constit final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | | empt at a proper reply, to | the non- |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received. | | | |
| . 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee an from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8). | | the statutory period of t | hree months |
| (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory p Allowance (PTOL-85). | s received on (with a Certifice eriod for payment of the issue fee (a | eate of Mailing or Transi nd publication fee) set in | mission dated the Notice of |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | e of \$ is due. | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | The publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | |
| (c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has n | ot been received. | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requality (PTO-37). | uired by, and within the three-month | period set in, the Notice | of |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | _ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tra | nsmission dated) | which is |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the ass | signee of the entire inter | est, or all of |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by ar 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | n attorney or agent (acting in a repre | sentative capacity under | 37 CFR |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair | | se the period for seeking | court review |
| 7. 🔀 The reason(s) below: | | / | |
| Spoke with attorney Robert Crawford; no response | EDW | ARD LEPKOWITZ DRY PATENT EXAMINER DLOGY CENTER 2800 | i |
| | | | |